



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,083	01/18/2002	Yun Ling	42390P13118	3639
7590	07/22/2004		EXAMINER	
John P. Ward BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			LEVI, DAMEON E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/054,083	LING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dameon E Levi	2841	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 July 2004.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3-11 and 13-19 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1,3-11 and 13-19 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claim 1,3-11,13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tondreault US Patents 5364282,5775925,5928015, and 5603625 in view of Suzuki US Patent 5470240.**

Regarding claim 1, Tondreault discloses a connector assembly comprising:  
a connector having a slot therein to receive an edge portion of a card; and  
a lever mechanism movably coupled to the connector(for example, see elements 10,14,16,12,22, Figs 1-5).

Tondreault does not expressly teach the lever mechanism having an engaging surface positioned on the lever mechanism to apply a lever force on the card during insertion of the card in the slot of the connector, wherein the engaging surface is adapted to contact a contact surface on the card. The Examiner points out that the card is not positively recited in the claims and references to the card are therefore not accorded patentable weight. Moreover, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is also not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison 69 USPQ 138

Suzuki teaches a connector assembly comprising a lever mechanism having an engaging surface positioned on the lever mechanism to apply a lever force on a card during insertion of the card in the slot of the connector, wherein the engaging surface is adapted to contact a contact surface on the card (for example, see elements 39(2), 21,43(2), Figs 2-7B, also see column 3, lines 47-57), which states in part, ... The first and second levers 39 are for prying in cooperation with the card 21 to put the card 21 into and out of mechanical contact with the card edge connector and to bring the connecting pads 25 into and out of electrical contact with the conductive contacts 17." Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a lever mechanism with an engaging surface as taught by Suzuki in the connector assembly as taught by Tondreault for the purpose of prying in cooperation with the circuit card to put the circuit card into and out of contact with the connector (see Suzuki column 3, lines 47-57).

**Regarding claim 3**, Tondreault discloses wherein the engaging surface includes a surface defined by a protuberance (for example, see elements 44,22, Figs 1-5)

**Regarding claim 4**, Tondreault discloses wherein the card is a memory card (for example, see columns 1-4)

**Regarding claim 5**, Tondreault discloses wherein the lever mechanism includes a lever pivotally coupled with the connector via a pivot positioned near a base end of the lever (for example, see elements 28,30,22 Figs 2-4)

**Regarding claim 6**, Tondreault discloses the instant claimed invention except wherein the engaging surface is located on a middle portion of the lever.

Suzuki discloses a connector assembly wherein an engaging surface is located on a middle portion of a lever (for example, see elements 39(2), 21,43(2), Figs 2-7B)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have positioned the engaging surface in the middle portion of the lever mechanism as taught by Suzuki in the connector assembly as taught by Tondreault for the purpose of prying in cooperation with the circuit card to put the circuit card into and out of contact with the connector(see Suzuki column 3, lines 47-57)

**Regarding claim 7**, Tondreault discloses wherein the lever mechanism includes a contact surface adapted to be moved from a first open position to a second closed position, and wherein the contact surface moves a greater distance than a distance traveled by the engaging surface when the lever mechanism is moved from the first open position to the second closed position (for example, see elements 44,22, Figs 3,4).

Moreover, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison* 69 USPQ 138

**Regarding claim 8**, Tondreault discloses further comprising:

an ejector attached to a base end of the lever mechanism to remove from the slot the card inserted therein when the lever mechanism is moved from a closed position to an open position(for example, see elements 36,22, Figs 2-4).

**Regarding claim 9**, Tondreault discloses further comprising a locking mechanism coupled with a lever to lock the lever in a closed position (for example, Fig 2).

**Regarding claim 10**, Tondreault discloses wherein the locking mechanism is adapted to emit an audible sound as it locks into place (for example, see Figs 1-4). Moreover, it has been held that the recitation that an element is “adapted to” perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison* 69 USPQ 138.

**Regarding claims 11-13**, the methods disclosed therein are deemed as inherent in the assembly and operation of the claimed apparatus of the preceding claims as fully met by (Tondreault, Suzuki) and are subsequently rejected.

**Regarding claim 14**, Tondreault discloses an assembly comprising:  
a connector having a slot therein to receive a card;  
a first case attached to a first end of the connector, the first case having first and second opposing planar surfaces defining a channel therebetween, and having a hole formed in each planar surface; a lever mechanism having a first end, a base end, and a middle portion, the lever mechanism having a contact surface movable by a user between a first position and a second position; an ejector attached to the base end of the lever;  
; and a first and second pivots attached to a first and second sides of the lever, respectively, proximate the middle portion of the lever mechanism(for example, see elements 10,14,16,12,20,22,24,28,30,32,36 Figs 1-5, see columns 1-4)  
Tondreault does not disclose an engaging surface attached to a surface of the lever mechanism above the ejector to engage a contact surface of the card during insertion of the card in the slot. The Examiner points out that the card is not positively recited in the claims and references to the card are therefore not accorded patentable weight.

Moreover, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is also not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison* 69 USPQ 138 Suzuki discloses a connector assembly comprising an engaging surface attached to a surface of the lever mechanism above the ejector to engage a contact surface of the card during insertion of the card in the slot (for example, see elements 39(2), 21,43(2), Figs 2-7B, also see column 3, lines 47-57), which states in part, ...The first and second levers 39 are for prying in cooperation with the card 21 to put the card 21 into and out of mechanical contact with the card edge connector and to bring the connecting pads 25 into and out of electrical contact with the conductive contacts 17."

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a lever mechanism with an engaging surface positioned as taught by Suzuki in the connector assembly as taught by Tondreault for the purpose of prying in cooperation with the circuit card to put the circuit card into and out of contact with the connector(see Suzuki column 3, lines 47-57)

Regarding claim 15, Tondreault discloses wherein the lever mechanism is pivotally coupled with the connector by insertion of the first pivot in the hole in the first planar surface of the first case and insertion of the second pivot in the hole in the second planar surface of the first case(for example, see Figs 1-4).

**Regarding claim 16,** Tondreault discloses wherein the engaging surface includes a surface defined by a protuberance (for example, see element 44, Figs 1-4).

**Regarding claim 17**, Tondreault discloses wherein the ejector includes a protuberance to engage a bottom edge of the card (for example, see element 36, Figs 1-4).

**Regarding claim 18**, Tondreault discloses wherein the lever mechanism is made of plastic (for example, see columns 1-4)

**Regarding claim 19**, Tondreault discloses a printed circuit board attached to a bottom surface of the connector (for example, see columns 1-4)

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3-11,13-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dameon E Levi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2105. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (9:00 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tulsidas C Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dameon E Levi  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2841

DEL

  
**TULSIDAS PATEL**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**